arXiv:1207.4652v1 [math.AP] 19 Jul 2012

UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS TO SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS ON 2-STEP NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

JEAN LUDWIG, DETLEF MÜLLER

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}_2$, be a nilpotent Lie algebra of step 2, $V_1, \cdots, V_m$ a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $L = \sum_{j,k}^m a_{jk} V_j V_k$ be a left-invariant differential operator on $G = \exp(\mathfrak{g})$, where $(a_{jk})_{jk} \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is symmetric. It is shown that if a solution $w(t, x)$ to the Schrödinger equation $\partial_t w(t, g) = iLw(t, g), g \in G, t \in \mathbb{R}, w(0, g) = f(g)$, satisfies a suitable Gaussian type estimate at time $t = 0$ and at some time $t = T \neq 0$, then $w = 0$. The proof is based on Hardy's uncertainty principle, on explicit computations within Howe's oscillator semigroup and on the methods developed in [10] and [11]. Our results extend the work by Ben Saïd and Thangavelu [14], in which the authors study the Schrödinger equation associated to the sub-Laplacian on the Heisenberg group.

1. Introduction

In a recent preprint [14], Ben Saïd and Thangavelu have extended a uniqueness property for solutions to the free Schrödinger equation on Euclidean space to the Schrödinger equation associated to the sub-Laplacian on the Heisenberg group (see Theorem 1.3). Their proof exploits in a crucial way the symmetry properties of the sub-Laplacian and makes use of a detailed analysis of the representation theory of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n$, which eventually allows to reduce to a variant of Hardy's classical uncertainty principle [7] for the Hankel transform.

In this paper we shall generalize the result of Ben Saïd and Thangavelu to every step two nilpotent Lie group, and at the same time show that it holds true even for a much wider class of second order left-invariant differential operators L on the corresponding Lie group. These operators need not be hypoelliptic. Our proof is based on a quite different approach, which at the same time appears conceptually simpler, as it allows in the end for a reduction directly to Hardy's classical theorem, and avoids cumbersome computations in irreducible representations.

1.1. **The Uncertainty Principle.**

Various forms of the *Uncertainty Principle* have again been in the research focus in recent years, for instance, among others, in the papers [1], [2],[3], [6],[5] and [4].

Of importance to us will be Hardy's incertainty principle [7]. On $\mathbb{R}^n$, with Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) \quad := \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-i\xi\cdot x} dx, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

this principle states the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. *Let* $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a measurable function such that for every* x *respectively* ξ *in* $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$|g(x)| \le C e^{\frac{-|x|^2}{4\alpha}}, \quad |\hat{g}(\xi)| \le C e^{\frac{-|\xi|^2}{\beta}}.$$

Then the following hold true: if $\alpha\beta < 1$, *then* $g = 0$, *and if* $\alpha\beta = 1$, *then* $g(x) = c e^{\frac{-|x|^2}{4\alpha}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The classical proof uses complex analysis; a real variable proof can be found in the paper [3].

The second author was supported by a one month research invitation from the University Paul Verlaine-Metz in 2010-2011.

2010 *Mathematical Subject Classification.* 43A80,22E30,22E25, 35B05.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger equation, uniqueness, uncertainty principle, 2-step nilpotent Lie group, oscillator semigroup.

Remark 1.2. *It is well-known* [6] *that Hardy's uncertainty principle is equivalent to the uniqueness for solutions to the Schrödinger equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w &= i\Delta w \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \\ w(x,0) &= f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \sum_j \partial_j^2$ *denotes the Laplacian on* $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Indeed we have that

$$w(x,t) \quad = \quad (e^{it\Delta}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{i\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi it)^{n/2}} f(y)\,dy = \frac{e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi it)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\frac{x\cdot y}{2t}} e^{i\frac{|y|^2}{4t}} f(y)\,dy.$$

Let now $g(x) := e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|w(x,0)| = |g(x)|, \quad |w(x,t)| = \frac{1}{(4\pi|t|)^{n/2}} |\hat{g}(\frac{x}{2t})|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}^*.$$

Hence if for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}^*$

$$|w(x,0)| \le Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}}, \quad |w(x,T)| \quad \le \quad Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4b}},$$

then

$$|g(x)| \le Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}}, \quad |\hat{g}(\xi)| \le C_T C e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{b/T^2}}.$$

Therefore by Hardy's Theorem, if $ab < T^2$, then $g = 0$, which implies that $f = 0$ and then also $w = 0$.

Replace now the Laplacian Δ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the sub-Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$ on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n$. In the preprint [14], Ben Saïd and Thangavelu prove the following uniqueness theorem for solutions to the associated Schrödinger equation:

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $a > 0, b > 0, T \in \mathbb{R}$, *such that* $ab < T^2$. *Let* $(h_t)_{t>0}$ *be the heat kernel associated to the sub-Laplacian* $\mathcal{L}$ *on* $\mathbb{H}_n$. *If for a measurable function* $f : \mathbb{H}_n \to \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\le Ch_a(x), \\ |(e^{iT\mathcal{L}}f)(x)| &\le Ch_b(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}_n, \end{aligned}$$

then $f = 0$.

1.2. Step two nilpotent Lie groups.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a real finite dimensional nilpotent non-abelian Lie algebra of step 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \\ \{0\} \neq [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] &= [\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{g}_1] \subset \mathfrak{g}_2,\ [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}_2] = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By modifying, if necessary, $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$, we may assume that

$$[\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{g}_1] \quad = \quad \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

By choosing exponential coordinates, we shall realize the corresponding connected, simply connected Lie group $G = \exp(\mathfrak{g})$ as

$$G \quad = \quad \mathfrak{g} \text{ (as underlying manifold)},$$

endowed with the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff product

$$x \cdot y := x + y = \frac{1}{2}[x,y], \quad x, y \in G = \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then 0 is the identity element of G and $x^{-1} = -x,\ x \in G$, and for $x_1, y_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ we have that

$$(x_1 + y_1) \cdot (y_1 + y_2) = (x_1 + y_1) + (x_2 + y_2 + \frac{1}{2}[x_1,y_1]).$$

For every $V \in \mathfrak{g}$, we obtain a left-invariant vector field V on G by differentiating on the right in the direction of V:

$$Vf(x) := \frac{d}{dt} f(x \cdot (tV))|_{t=0}, \quad f \in C^\infty(G), x \in G.$$

Furthermore, the group G admits a one-parameter group of automorphic dilations $\sigma_t, t \in \mathbb{R}^*$, given by

$$\sigma_t(x_1 + x_2) := tx_1 + t^2 x_2, \quad x_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

Let $V_1, \cdots, V_m$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $U_1, \cdots, U_l$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_2$. We choose the scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}$ for which these vectors form an orthonormal basis, and denote by $|\cdot|$ the corresponding Euclidean norm on G. Notice that this basis then allows to identify $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ with the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^l$.

Let L_A be the element of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$L_A := \sum_{j,k=1}^m a_{jk} V_j V_k.$$

Here we assume that the $m \times m$ matrix $A = (a_{jk})$ is a real and symmetric. As usually, we shall consider the elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, such as L_A, as left-invariant differential operator on the group G.

Example 1.4. If $A = \mathbb{I} \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$L_{\mathbb{I}} = \mathcal{L} := \sum_j V_j^2$$

is a *sub-Laplacian* on G, and for suitable functions f on G, the solution to the associated heat equation

$$\partial_t w = \mathcal{L} w, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*,$$

is given by

$$w_t = f * h_t,$$

where $h_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}, t > 0$, is the family of heat kernels associated to $\mathcal{L}$.

Recall (see, e.g., [15]) that the *Carnot-Carathéodory (CC) distance* to the origin associated to the sum of squares operator $\mathcal{L}$ is defined by

$$\|g\|_{cc} := \inf_\gamma |\gamma|, \quad g \in G,$$

where the infimum is taken over all absolutely continuous curves $\gamma : [0,T] \to G$ which are horizontal and connect $0 \in G$ with g, i.e, $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t) V_j(\gamma(t))$, for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$. Here, $|\gamma|$ denotes the length of γ given by

$$|\gamma| := \int_0^T (\sum_j a_j(t)^2)^{1/2} dt.$$

Notice that the vector fields $\{V_j\}$ are orthonormal with respect to the underlying sub-Riemanian geometry. The distance functions $\|\cdot\|_{cc}$ is homogeneous of degree one under the family of dilations $\{\sigma_t\}$, as is the function

$$\|(x,u)\| := (|x|^4 + 16|u|^2)^{1/4},$$

and it is well-known [15] that there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1}\|g\|_{cc} \leq \|g\| \leq C\|g\|_{cc}, \quad \text{for all } g \in G. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, since curves in $\mathfrak{g}_1$ are horizontal, $\|(x,0)\|_{cc} = |x|$, and by relation (1.1), $\|(0,u)\|_{cc} \leq C|u|^{1/2}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x,u)\|_{cc}^2 &\leq (\|(x,0)\|_{cc} + \|(0,u)\|_{cc})^2 \leq (|x| + C|u|^{1/2})^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)|x|^2 + C_\varepsilon |u|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore, since the projection of a horizontal curve to $\mathfrak{g}_1$ along $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is horizontal too, it follows that

$$\|(x,u)\|_{cc} \geq \|(x,0)\|_{cc} = |x|,$$

and by (1.1) we have $\|(x,u)\|_{cc} \geq \frac{1}{C}|u|^{1/2}$, hence

$$\|(x,u)\|_{cc}^2 \geq (1-\varepsilon)|x|^2 + \frac{1}{C_\varepsilon}|u|.$$

So finally, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon \geq 1$ such that

$$(1.2) \qquad (1-\varepsilon)|x|^2 + \frac{1}{C_\varepsilon}|u| \leq \|(x,u)\|_{cc}^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)|x|^2 + C_\varepsilon|u|, \quad u \in \mathfrak{g}_2, x \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

It follows from [15], page 50, that we have the following point-wise estimate for the heat kernel h_t on G (this is a special case of a more general result holding true for arbitrary nilpotent Lie groups):

$$h_t(g) \leq C_\varepsilon t^{-D/2} e^{-\frac{\|g\|_{cc}^2}{4(1+\varepsilon)t}}, \quad g \in G, t > 0, \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $D := m + 2l = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_1) + 2\dim(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ denotes the homogeneous dimension of $G = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$. Consequently

$$(1.3) \qquad h_t(x,u) \leq C_\varepsilon t^{-D/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(1+\varepsilon)t}} e^{-\frac{|u|}{C_\varepsilon t}}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_1, u \in \mathfrak{g}_2, t > 0, \varepsilon > 0.$$

This estimate is essentially optimal, i.e., it is also known [15] that

$$h_t(g) \geq C_\varepsilon t^{-D/2} e^{-\frac{\|g\|_{cc}^2}{4(1-\varepsilon)t}}, \quad g \in G, t > 0, 1 > \varepsilon > 0,$$

and so

$$(1.4) \qquad h_t(x,u) \geq C_\varepsilon t^{-D/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(1-\varepsilon)t}} e^{-C_\varepsilon \frac{|u|}{t}}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_1, u \in \mathfrak{g}_2, t > 0, 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$

Let us now return to our operator

$$L_A = \sum_{j,k=1}^{m} a_{jk} V_j V_k$$

on G, and consider the initial value problem for the associated Schrödinger equation

$$(1.5) \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t w(t,g) = iL_A w(t,g), & t \in \mathbb{R}, g \in G, \\ \quad w(0,g) = f(g), \end{cases}$$

with $f \in L^2(G)$. If we assume that $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(G))$, then

$$w(t,\cdot) \quad = \quad e^{itL_A} f, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

In combination with the work by Ben-Saïd-Thangevalu, estimates (1.3) and (1.4) motivate our main

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that there are constants $C > 0, \delta > 0, a > 0, b > 0,$ so that the solution w to the system (1.5) for the operator L_A satisfies for some $T \neq 0$*

$$(1.6) \qquad |w(0,(x,u))| \quad \leq \quad Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}} e^{-\delta|u|}$$

$$(1.7) \qquad |w(T,(x,u))| \quad \leq \quad Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4b}} e^{-\delta|u|}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_1, u \in \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

Then $w \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \times G$ whenever

$$(1.8) \qquad ab < \|A\|_{\mathrm{op}}^2 T^2.$$

Here $\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ denotes the operator norm of the matrix A when acting on $\mathbb{R}^m \simeq \mathfrak{g}_1$, with respect to the Euclidian norm $|\cdot|$.

Notice that (1.2) shows that the conditions (1.6) and (1.7) in the theorem above are weaker than the corresponding conditions in Theorem 1.3.

As usually, in the sequel we shall denote by C a constant whose value may change from line to line.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.5

2.1. **Preliminaries.** In order to prepare the proof of Theorem 1.5, we first derive the following variant of Hardy's Theorem:

Corollary 2.1. *Let* $A = (a_{jk}) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ *be a non-trivial real valued symmetric* $n \times n$ *matrix. Moreover, let* $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a measurable function such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x)| &\leq Ce^{-\frac{|x^2|}{4a}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ |\widehat{F}(y)| &\leq Ce^{-\frac{|A(y)|^2}{b}}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants $a > 0, b > 0$. *If* $ab < \|A\|_{\mathrm{op}}^2$, *then* $F = 0$.

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue of A of largest modulus. Then $\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}} = |\lambda|$. Write $\mathbb{R}^n = E_\lambda \oplus V$, where E_λ denotes the eigenspace of λ and V the orthogonal complement of E_λ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $x \in E_\lambda, v \in V$, let us write $F_x(v) := F(x+v)$. The function F_x is contained in $L^1(V)$ for a.e. x, and if we put $F^\nu(x) := \int_V F(x+v)e^{-i\nu\cdot v}\,dv$, $x \in E_\lambda, \nu \in V$, then

$$F^\nu(x) = \widehat{F_x}(\nu), \quad \nu \in V, x \in E_\lambda,$$

so that

$$|F^\nu(x)| \leq C\int_V e^{-\frac{|x+v|^2}{4a}}dv = (C\int_V e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{4a}}dv)\, e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}} C_a\, e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}}, \quad x \in E_\lambda.$$

Furthermore we have that for $\xi \in E_\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{F^\nu}(\xi)| &= |\int_{E_\lambda} F^\nu(x)e^{-i\xi\cdot x}dx| = |\int_{E_\lambda}\int_V F(x+v)\,e^{-i\nu\cdot v}\,dv\,e^{-i\xi\cdot x}\,dx| \\ &= |\widehat{F}(\xi+\nu)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{|A(\xi+\nu)|^2}{b}} = Ce^{-\frac{|A(\xi)|^2+|A(\nu)|^2}{b}} = Ce^{-\frac{|A(\nu)|^2}{b}}e^{-\frac{|A(\xi)|^2}{b}} \\ &= C_{\nu,b}e^{-\frac{|\lambda|^2|\xi|^2}{b}} = C_{\nu,b}e^{-\frac{\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}}^2|\xi|^2}{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hardy's classical theorem 1.1 to the function F^v, we see that $F^v = 0$ for every $v \in V$, since $a(b/\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}}^2) < 1$. Therefore the function $V \ni w \mapsto F(x+w)$ vanishes for every $x \in E_\lambda$, and finally $F = 0$. ☐

Coming back to our group G, let us put as before L_A and

$$T_t \quad := \quad e^{itL_A}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then T_t is a unitary operator on $L^2(G)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.2. Following [10], define for $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ the skew symmetric form ω_μ on $\mathfrak{g}_1$ by

$$\omega_\mu(V,W) \quad = \quad \mu([V,W]), \tag{2.1}$$

and the corresponding matrix

$$(J_\mu)_{jk} \quad := \quad \mu([V_j,V_k]).$$

Given the real symmetric matrix $A \in M_m(\mathbb{R})$, we also put

$$S_\mu := -AJ_\mu \in M_m(\mathbb{R}). \tag{2.2}$$

Two possible scenarios may arrive ([10]) :

- ω_μ is non-degenerate for generic $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}_2^*$, (i.e., on a Zariski open subset).

  In this case, necessarily $m = 2n$ is even, and we call $\mathfrak{g}$ a *MW-algebra ("Moore-Wolf")*.
- ω_μ is degenerate for every $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}_2^*$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}$ is not *MW*.

2.2. **The case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is MW.** If $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ is such that ω_μ is non-degenerate, then

$$(\omega_\mu^{\wedge n}) \quad = \quad Pf(\omega_\mu)dx,$$

where $dx = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m$ and $Pf(\omega_\mu)$ denotes the Pfaffian of ω_μ. In fact

$$Pf(\omega_\mu) \quad = \quad \pm\sqrt{\det J_\mu}.$$

We put

$$d_\mu x \quad := \quad |Pf(\omega_\mu)|dx = \sqrt{\det J_\mu}dx, \tag{2.3}$$

regarded as a positive measure on $\mathfrak{g}_1$.

The *μ-twisted convolution* of two suitable functions or distributions on $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \times_\mu \psi(x) \quad &:= \quad \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \phi(x-y)\psi(y)e^{-i\pi\omega_\mu(x,y)}d_\mu y \\ &= \quad \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \phi(y)\psi(x-y)e^{i\pi\omega_\mu(x,y)}d_\mu y. \end{aligned}$$

Given $f \in L^1(G)$ we put

$$f^\mu(x) \quad := \quad \frac{1}{|Pf(\omega_\mu)|}\int_{g_2} f(x,u)e^{-2\pi i\mu(u)}du.$$

Then for $f, g \in L^1(G)$,

$$(f * g)^\mu \quad = \quad f^\mu \times_\mu g^\mu, \tag{2.4}$$

where $*$ denotes convolution on the group G. Fourier-inversion on $\mathfrak{g}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^l$ yields

$$f(x,u) \quad = \quad \int_{\mathfrak{g}_2^*} f^\mu(x)e^{2\pi i\mu(x)}|Pf(\omega_\mu)|d\mu. \tag{2.5}$$

If $D \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, we define the differential operator D^μ on $\mathfrak{g}_1$ by the relation

$$(Df)^\mu = D^\mu f^\mu, \qquad f \in \mathcal{S}(G),$$

where $\mathcal{S}(G)$ denotes the space of Schwartz functions on G. In particular, if $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$, then

$$(Xf)^\mu(x) \quad = \quad \int_{\mathfrak{g}_2} \frac{d}{dt}f(x\cdot(tX)+u)|_{t=0}\, e^{-2\pi i\mu(u)}du = \partial_X f^\mu(x) + i\pi\omega_\mu(x,X)f^\mu(x),$$

so that

$$X^\mu = \partial_X + i\pi\omega_\mu(x,X). \tag{2.6}$$

In a similar way, if $U \in \mathfrak{g}_2$, then

$$U^\mu = 2i\pi\mu(U).$$

In particular, wee see that

$$(L_A f)^\mu = L_A^\mu f^\mu = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}V_j^\mu V_k^\mu(f^\mu), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(G), \tag{2.7}$$

where the V_j^μ are given by (2.6), and we find that

$$(T_t f)^\mu \quad = \quad e^{itL_A^\mu}(f^\mu),$$

Let us put

$$T_t^\mu\phi \quad := \quad e^{i\frac{t}{4\pi}L_A^\mu}\phi, \tag{2.8}$$

so that

$$(T_t f)^\mu \quad = \quad T_{4\pi t}^\mu(f^\mu).$$

Since ω_μ is non-degenerate, we can choose a symplectic basis $\mathcal{V}'_\mu = \mathcal{V}' = \{V'_1, \cdots, V'_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_1$.

Let $R(\mu)$ be the transition matrix, i.e., $V'_j = \sum_i R_{i,j}(\mu)V_i$.

We can choose these bases $\mathcal{V}'_\mu$ in an analytic way on an open dense subset $\mathcal{U}$ of the unit sphere in $\mathfrak{g}_2^*$ and then define for μ in the open cone $\mathcal{C} := \mathbb{R}_+^*\mathcal{U}$ of generic $\mu \neq 0$ the matrix $R(\mu)$ by

$$R(\mu) \quad = \quad |\mu|^{-1/2} R\Big(\frac{\mu}{|\mu|}\Big). \tag{2.9}$$

With respect to this basis, we have that $\omega_\mu(V_j', V_k') = J_{jk}$, where

$$J = (J_{ij}) \quad = \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & I_n \\ -I_n & 0_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the new, symplectic coordinates coordinates

$$z = R(\mu)^{-1} x \tag{2.10}$$

for $\mathfrak{g}_1$, our operator L_A^μ is given by

$$\tilde{L}_A^\mu \quad = \quad \sum_{j,k} a_{jk}(\mu) \tilde{V}_j' \tilde{V}_k',$$

where $A(\mu) = R(\mu)^{-1} A \, {}^t R(\mu)^{-1}$ and

$$\tilde{V}_j' f(z) \quad = \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} f(z) - i\pi (Jz)_j f(z).$$

Then the $\tilde{V}_j'$'s are exactly the operators which arise from the usual vector fields on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n$ after applying the partial Fourier transform in the central direction at $\mu = 1$.

Since ω_μ is represented by the matrix J in the new basis, we have that

$$J \quad = \quad {}^t R(\mu) J_\mu R(\mu),$$

so that by (2.3)

$$(\det J_\mu) \det(R(\mu)^2 = 1 \quad \text{and } d_\mu x = dz. \tag{2.11}$$

We can make use of the symplectic coordinates z in order to refer to results from [9]. In particular, $(T_t^\mu)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a well-defined one parameter group of unitary operators on $L^2(\mathfrak{g}_1, d_\mu)$. If $\tilde{f}$ represents f in the symplectic coordinates, i.e.,

$$\tilde{f}(z) = f(R(\mu)z),$$

and if $\tilde{T}_t^\mu$ represents T_t^μ in these coordinates, then

$$\tilde{T}_t^\mu \tilde{f} \quad = \quad \tilde{f} \times \tilde{\gamma}_t^\mu, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\tilde{f} \times \tilde{g}(z) \quad = \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \tilde{f}(z-w) \tilde{g}(w) e^{-i\pi {}^t w J z} \, dw,$$

and where the explicit formulas for $\tilde{\gamma}_t^\mu$ can be derived from [9]. These formulas depend on the spectrum of the matrix

$$S(\mu) := -R(\mu)^{-1} A J_\mu R(\mu) = -A(\mu) J, \tag{2.13}$$

which is conjugate to the matrix

$$S_\mu := -A J_\mu.$$

Actually $S_\mu \in \mathfrak{sp}(\mathfrak{g}_1, \omega_\mu)$, i.e.,

$$\omega_\mu(V, S_\mu W) \quad = \quad -\omega_\mu(S_\mu V, W), \quad V, W \in \mathfrak{g}_1$$

(c.f. [10]).

Remark 2.3. *The formula $S = -AJ$ establishes a bijective relation between the elements $S \in \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and the space of the real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A = (a_{jk})$. If we define correspondingly*

$$\tilde{\Delta}_S \quad := \quad \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \tilde{V}_j' \tilde{V}_k',$$

then

$$[\frac{i}{4\pi} \tilde{\Delta}_{S_1}, \frac{i}{4\pi} \tilde{\Delta}_{S_2}] \quad = \quad \frac{i}{4\pi} \tilde{\Delta}_{[S_1, S_2]}. \tag{2.14}$$

This suggests that the operators $\pm e^{\frac{i}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_S}$, $S\in\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$, *generate a Lie group* $\tilde{M}(n,\mathbb{R})$ *with Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$*. Indeed, this group is isomorphic to the metaplectic group, a two-fold cover of the symplectic group* $Sp(n,\mathbb{R})$*, as has been shown by R. Howe in* [8]*, where he realizes the metaplectic group as a boundary of the the called "oscillator semi-group".*

In particular, $(\tilde{T}_t^\mu)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *is the one-parameter subgroup of* $\tilde{M}(n,\mathbb{R})$ *generated by* $\tilde{\Delta}_{S(\mu)}$.

In order to indicate the dependency of $\tilde{T}_t^\mu$ on the matrix $S(\mu)\in\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$, let us write

$$\tilde{T}_{t,S} \;:=\; e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_S},\quad S\in\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R}), t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.15}$$

so that

$$\tilde{T}^\mu_{4\pi T} \;=\; \tilde{T}_{4\pi T,S(\mu)}.$$

Let us return to the proof of Theorem 1.5. We denote in the sequel the function $w(0,\cdot)$ of the theorem by f. Following [14], we begin with the following simple

Observation: *It will suffice to show that (1.6) and (1.7) imply that* $f^\mu=0$ *for all* μ *in the cone* $\mathcal{C}$ *of generic* μ *which are contained in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin in* $\mathfrak{g}_2^*\setminus\{0\}$.

Indeed, condition (1.6) tells us that for a.e. x, the function $\mu\mapsto f^\mu(x)$ is well defined and holomorphic for $\mu\in(\mathfrak{g}_2^*)_\mathbb{C}\simeq\mathbb{C}^l, |\operatorname{Im}\mu|<\delta/2\pi$. Hence by the identity principle for holomorphic functions, our assumption implies that $f^\mu(x)=0$ for all $\mu\in\mathfrak{g}_2^*$, and therefore $f=0$.

Let us therefore assume in the sequel that $\mu\in\mathcal{C}\subset\mathfrak{g}_2^*$. Then, by (1.6) and (1.7),

$$|f^\mu(x)| \;\le\; Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}} \tag{2.16}$$

$$|T^\mu_{4\pi T}(f^\mu)(x)| \;\le\; Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4b}},\quad x\in\mathfrak{g}_1. \tag{2.17}$$

In the z-coordinates, these estimates are equivalent to

$$|\tilde{f}^\mu(z)| \;\le\; Ce^{-\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4a}} \tag{2.18}$$

$$|\tilde{T}^\mu_{4\pi T}(\tilde{f}^\mu)(z)| \;\le\; Ce^{-\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4b}},\quad z\in\mathbb{R}^m. \tag{2.19}$$

As mentioned before, (c.f. (2.12)), the operators $\tilde{T}_{t,S}$ are twisted convolution operators of the form

$$\tilde{T}_{t,S}\varphi \;=\; \varphi\times\tilde{\gamma}_{t,S},$$

where the $\tilde{\gamma}_{t,S}$ have been computed explicitly in [9]. Generically, the $\tilde{\gamma}_{t,S}$ are purely imaginary Gaussians. The expression for $\tilde{\gamma}_{t,S}$ becomes particularly simple for small times t, when S is regular. If S is degenerate, $\tilde{\gamma}_{t,S}$ will rather look like a purely imaginary Gaussian in one set of variables and like a Dirac measure in another set of variables. We shall avoid the latter case by first assuming that the matrix A is non-degenerate, and subsequently reduce the case when A is degenerate to the non-degenerate case by means of a small perturbation trick.

2.2.1. *The case when* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is MW and A is non-degenerate.* When A, hence also S_μ and $S(\mu)$, are non-degenerate, say for μ in the cone $\mathcal{C}$, then we can make use of the following results from ([9], Prop. 2.3 and Theorem 3.1).

Proposition 2.4. *Let* $S\in\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ *be non-degenerate and choose* κ *so small, that*

$$\det(\sinh(tS))\neq 0,\; 0<|t|<\kappa.$$

Then for $0<|t|<\kappa$,

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{t,S}(z)=\frac{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}k(JS)\operatorname{sgn}(t)}}{2^n|\det(\sinh(tS/2))|^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}{}^tzJ\coth(tS/2)z}.$$

Here $k(JS)$ *denotes the number of positive eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix* JS *minus the number of its negative eigenvalues.*

Passing back to our original coordinates x, we obtain

Corollary 2.5. *If A is non-degenerate, then for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_1)$,*

$$T_t^\mu \phi \quad = \quad \phi \times_\mu \gamma_{t,S_\mu},$$

where $S_\mu = -AJ_\mu$ and

$$\gamma_{t,\mu}(x) = \frac{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}k(J_\mu S_\mu)\,\mathrm{sgn}\,(t)}}{2^n|\det(\sinh(tS_\mu/2))|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}\,{}^t x J_\mu \coth(tS_\mu/2)x}.$$

Proof. Let us recall that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mu) &= R(\mu)^{-1} A\, {}^t R(\mu)^{-1} \\ J &= {}^t R(\mu) J_\mu R(\mu), \\ S(\mu) &= -A(\mu)J = -R(\mu)^{-1} A\, {}^t R(\mu)^{-1}\, {}^t R(\mu) J_\mu R(\mu) \\ &= R(\mu)^{-1} S_\mu R(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} k(J_\mu S_\mu) &= k(JS(\mu)), \\ \det(\sinh(tS(\mu)/2)) &= \det(\sinh(tS_\mu/2)), \\ J\coth(tS(\mu)/2) &= {}^t R(\mu) J_\mu \coth(tS_\mu/2) R(\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where we have made use of Sylvester's theorem in the first identity. Then (compare [11] (1.14))

$$\begin{aligned} T_t^\mu \phi(x) &= \tilde{T}^\mu_{t,S(\mu)}(\phi \circ R(\mu))(R(\mu)^{-1}(x)) \\ &= ((\phi \circ R(\mu)) \times \tilde{\gamma}_{t,S(\mu)})(R(\mu)^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$\begin{aligned} c_{t,\mu} &:= \frac{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}k(JS(\mu))\,\mathrm{sgn}\,(t)}}{2^n|\det(\sinh(tS(\mu)/2))|^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}k(J_\mu S_\mu)\,\mathrm{sgn}\,(t)}}{2^n|\det(\sinh(tS_\mu/2))|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \\ C(t,\mu) &:= J\coth(tS(\mu)/2) = {}^t R(\mu) J_\mu \coth(tS_\mu/2) R(\mu), \\ e_{C(t,\mu)}(z) &:= e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2} z^t C(t,\mu) z}, \end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$T_t^\mu \phi(x) \quad = \quad c_{t,\mu}((\phi \circ R(\mu)) \times e_{C(t,\mu)}(R(\mu)^{-1}x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

and so by (2.20) and (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} & T_t^\mu \phi(x) \\ = \;& c_{t,\mu}((\phi \circ R(\mu)) \times e_{C(t,\mu)}(R(\mu)^{-1}x) \\ = \;& c_{t,\mu} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \phi(R(\mu)y)\, e_{C(t,\mu)}(R(\mu)^{-1}(x) - y) e^{i\pi\, {}^t(R(\mu)^{-1}(x))Jy} dy \\ = \;& c_{t,\mu} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \phi(R(\mu)y) e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}({}^t(R(\mu)^{-1}(x)-y)C(t,\mu)((R(\mu)^{-1}(x)-y)} e^{i\pi\, {}^t(R(\mu)^{-1}(x))Jy}\, dy \\ = \;& c_{t,\mu}|\det(R(\mu))|^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \phi(y) e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}{}^t(R(\mu)^{-1}(x-y))C(t,\mu)R(\mu)^{-1}(x-y)} e^{i\pi({}^t x\, {}^t R(\mu)^{-1} JR(\mu)^{-1} y)} dy \\ = \;& c_{t,\mu} \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \phi(y) e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}{}^t(x-y)J_\mu \coth(tS_\mu/2)(x-y)} e^{i\pi{}^t x J_\mu y} d_\mu y, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim. □

Since the matrix $J_\mu \coth(tS_\mu/2)$ is symmetric, it follows that for our function $f = w(\cdot, 0)$ we have

$$T_t^\mu f^\mu(x) = c^1_{t,\mu}(x) \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} f_t^\mu(y) e^{i\pi\, {}^t(J_\mu(\coth(tS_\mu/2)-\mathbb{I})x)y} d_\mu y, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$c^1_{t,\mu}(x) := c_{t,\mu} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\, {}^t x J_\mu \coth(tS_\mu/2)x},$$

and where

$$f_t^\mu(x) := e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\,{}^t x J_\mu \coth(tS_\mu/2)x}\, f^\mu(x).$$

Define now the Euclidean Fourier transform on $\mathfrak{g}_1$ as in Hardy's theorem by

$$\widehat{\phi}(x) \quad := \quad \int_{g_1} \phi(y)e^{-ix\cdot y}\,dy, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

Then by (2.21)

$$T_t^\mu f^\mu(x) \quad = \quad c_{t,\mu}^1(x)\widehat{f_t^\mu}(-\pi J_\mu(\coth(tS_\mu/2) - \mathbb{I})x). \tag{2.22}$$

For $t = 4\pi T \neq 0$ fixed and $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ sufficiently small, we have that $J_\mu(\coth(tS_\mu/2) - \mathbb{I})$ is invertible. In fact,

$$\coth(tS_\mu/2) - \mathbb{I} = (\mathbb{I} + O(|\mu|^2))\Big(\frac{tS_\mu}{2}\Big)^{-1} - \mathbb{I} = \frac{2}{t}(\mathbb{I} + O(|\mu|^2) - \frac{t}{2}S_\mu)S_\mu^{-1} = \frac{2}{t}(\mathbb{I} + O(|\mu|))S_\mu^{-1},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}(J_\mu(\coth(tS_\mu/2) - \mathbb{I}))^{-1} \quad &= \quad \frac{t}{2}S_\mu(\mathbb{I} + O(|\mu|))J_\mu^{-1} = \frac{t}{2}S_\mu J_\mu^{-1} + O(\mu) \\ &= \quad -\frac{t}{2}A + O(|\mu|). \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Putting

$$v := -\pi J_\mu(\coth(tS_\mu/2) - \mathbb{I})(x),$$

in combination with (2.22) this implies that for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned}|\widehat{f_t^\mu}(v)| \quad &\leq \quad |c_{t,\mu}|^{-1}|T_t^\mu f^\mu(-(\pi J_\mu(\coth(tS_\mu/2) - \mathbb{I})^{-1}(v))| \\ &= \quad |c_{t,\mu}|^{-1}|T_t^\mu f^\mu((2TA + O(|\mu|))v)|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Combining (2.16), (2.17) and (2.24), we see that for $x, v \in \mathfrak{g}_1$

$$|f_t^\mu(x)| \quad = \quad |f^\mu(x)| \leq e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}} \tag{2.25}$$

$$|\widehat{f_t^\mu}(v)| \quad \leq \quad C|c_{t,\mu}|^{-1}e^{-\frac{|(2TA+O(|\mu|))v|^2}{4b}}. \tag{2.26}$$

Since A is non-degenerate, given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose some $\delta > 0$, such that for every $v \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ with $|\mu| < \delta$,

$$|(2TA + O(|\mu|))(v)| \geq \frac{|2TAv|}{1+\varepsilon},$$

so that

$$|\widehat{f_t^\mu}(v)| \leq C|c_{t,\mu}|^{-1}e^{-\frac{|TAv|}{b(1+\varepsilon)^2}}. \tag{2.27}$$

Assume now that $ab < T^2\|A\|_{\text{op}}^2$. Then we may choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $ab(1+\varepsilon)^2 < T^2\|A\|_{\text{op}}^2$. Then the relations (2.25) and (2.27) in combination with Corollary 2.1 imply that for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ sufficiently small we have that $f_t^\mu = 0$, hence also $f^\mu = 0$. By our previous Observation, this concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5 in this sub-case.

2.2.2. *The case when* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is MW and* A *is degenerate.* Let us next assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is MW, but that $A \neq 0$ is singular. We shall reduce this case to the previous one by means of a perturbation argument.

To this end, we shall have to perform some calculations within Howe's oscillator semigroup, which is why we shall work within the symplectic coordinates z for $\mathfrak{g}_1$ given by (2.10).

Recall first that the sub-Laplacian $\mathcal{L} = \sum_j V_j^2$, which generates the heat semi-group with heat kernels h_t on G, corresponds to the matrix $A = \mathbb{I}$. We therefore put for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} B(\mu) \quad &:= \quad -R(\mu)^{-1}\mathbb{I}\,{}^tR(\mu)^{-1} = -R(\mu)^{-1}{}^tR(\mu)^{-1}, \\ D(\mu) \quad &:= \quad -B(\mu)J. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Since $-B(\mu)$ is positive definite, the operator

$$\tilde{P}^{\mu}_{\tau} \quad := \quad e^{\frac{i\tau}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D(\mu)}}, \qquad \operatorname{Im}\tau \geq 0,$$

is a well-defined contraction operator on $L^2(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ when $\operatorname{Im}\tau > 0$, lying in Howe' s oscillator semigroup (c.f. [8]). Moreover, by Theorem 5.2 in [12],

$$\tilde{P}^{\mu}_{\tau}\phi \quad = \quad \phi \times \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\tau}, \qquad \operatorname{Im}\tau \geq 0, \tag{2.29}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\tau}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\tau}(z) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2^n(\det(\sinh(\tau\frac{D(\mu)}{2})))^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}{}^t zJ\coth(\tau\frac{D(\mu)}{2})z}, \tag{2.30}$$

for a suitable choice of the square root.

Observe that by narrowing down the cone $\mathcal{C}$, we may assume that for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ the $R(\mu)$'s are chosen in (2.9) so that

$$\|R(\mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathrm{op}} \quad \leq \quad C|\mu|^{1/2}, \qquad \|D(\mu)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq C|\mu|, \qquad \|D(\mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq C|\mu|^{-1}. \tag{2.31}$$

Lemma 2.6. *Given $T \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(T,\varepsilon) > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$, such that for every $\varepsilon' \in]0,\varepsilon_0[$, every $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|\mu| < \varepsilon_0$ and every $\sigma \in [0,1]$ we have*

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{4\pi T\varepsilon'(\sigma+i)}(z)| \quad \leq \quad C(\varepsilon'|\mu|)^{-n} e^{-\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4\varepsilon}}, \qquad z \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

Proof. If $\tau = 4\pi T\varepsilon'(\sigma+i)$ then under our hypotheses, (2.31) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J\coth(\frac{\tau D(\mu)}{2}) \quad &= \quad \frac{2}{\tau}JD(\mu)^{-1} + O(|\tau||\mu|) \\ &= \quad \frac{2}{\tau}\,{}^tR(\mu)R(\mu) + O(|\tau||\mu|), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\,[\frac{-i\pi}{2}J\coth(\frac{\tau D(\mu)}{2})] \quad = \quad -\frac{{}^tR(\mu)R(\mu)}{4\varepsilon' T(1+\sigma^2)} + O(\varepsilon').$$

Hence, using again (2.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\,[\frac{-i\pi}{2}\,{}^tzJ\coth(\frac{\tau D(\mu)}{2})z] \quad &= \quad -\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4\varepsilon' T(1+\sigma^2)} + O(\varepsilon'|z|^2) \\ &\leq \quad -\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4\varepsilon' T(1+\sigma^2)} + C\varepsilon'|\mu||R(\mu)z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$|\det\sinh(\frac{\tau D(\mu)}{2})|^{-1/2} \leq C(\varepsilon'|\mu|)^{-m/2}.$$

The claim follows now easily. □

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and assume that $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ are chosen sufficiently small so that the conclusion of Lemma 2.6 holds true. Since $\varphi \times \psi(z) \leq |\varphi| * |\psi|(z)$, where $*$ denotes ordinary convolution on Euclidean space, (2.19) and Lemma 2.6 in combination with standard convolution identities for heat kernels on Euclidean space imply that

$$|\tilde{P}^{\mu}_{4\pi T\varepsilon'(1+i)}\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{4\pi T}\tilde{f}^{\mu}(z)| \quad \leq \quad C(\varepsilon'|\mu|)^{-n} e^{-\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4(b+\varepsilon)}}. \tag{2.32}$$

Putting again $t := 4\pi T$, we may re-write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}^{\mu}_{t\varepsilon'(1+i)}\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{t} \quad &= \quad e^{\frac{it\varepsilon'(1+i)}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D(\mu)}} e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S(\mu)}}, \\ &= \quad e^{\frac{-t\varepsilon'}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D(\mu)}} e^{\frac{it\varepsilon'}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D(\mu)}} e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S(\mu)}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Remark 2.3, we have

$$e^{\frac{it\varepsilon'}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D(\mu)}} e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S(\mu)}} \quad = \quad e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}},$$

where

$$S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu) \quad = \quad \varepsilon' D(\mu) + S(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon'[D(\mu), S(\mu)] + \cdots$$

is given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. But, similarly to (2.31),

$$\|S(\mu)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq C|\mu| \quad \text{ on } \mathcal{C},$$

so that

$$S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu) = S(\mu) + \varepsilon' D(\mu) + O(\varepsilon'|\mu|^2). \tag{2.33}$$

Finally we may write

$$\tilde{P}^{\mu}_{t\varepsilon'(1+i)}\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{t} \quad = \quad e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}[e^{-\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}e^{\frac{i\varepsilon'(it)}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D(\mu)}}e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}].$$

In view of (2.14), the second factor can be re-written as $e^{\frac{i\varepsilon'(it)}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon'(it)D_{\varepsilon'(\mu)} \quad &= \quad \exp(\mathrm{ad}(-tS_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)))(\varepsilon'(it)D(\mu)) \\ &= \quad \varepsilon'(it)D(\mu) - \varepsilon' it^2[S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu), D(\mu)] + \cdots \\ &= \quad i\varepsilon' t[D(\mu) + O(|\mu|^2)], \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu) \quad &= \quad D(\mu) + O(|\mu|^2) \\ &= \quad (\mathbb{I} + O(|\mu|))D(\mu), \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

where we have applied (2.31). So we have finally re-written

$$\tilde{P}^{\mu}_{t\varepsilon'(1+i)}\tilde{T}^{\mu}_{t} \quad = \quad e^{\frac{it}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}e^{\frac{i\varepsilon'(it)}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}. \tag{2.36}$$

Now, since the leading term of $D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)$ is $D(\mu)$, we obtain the following analogue of Lemma 2.6:

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $e^{\frac{i\varepsilon'(it)}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}\varphi = \varphi \times \tilde{\Gamma}^{\varepsilon',\mu}_{it}$. *Then the conclusion of Lemma 2.6 holds for* $\Gamma^{\varepsilon',\mu}_{4\pi T i\varepsilon'}$ *in place of* $\Gamma^{\mu}_{4\pi T\varepsilon'(\sigma+i)}$, *i.e., given* $T \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$, *there exists an* $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(T, \varepsilon) > 0, \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$, *such that for every* $\varepsilon' \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$ *and every* $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ *such that* $|\mu| < \varepsilon_0$ *we have*

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}^{\varepsilon',\mu}_{4\pi T i\varepsilon'}(z)| \quad \leq \quad C(\varepsilon'|\mu|)^{-n}e^{-\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4\varepsilon}}, \quad z \in \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

Proof. The function $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\varepsilon',\mu}_{it}$ is again given by formula (2.30), only with $D(\mu)$ replaced by $D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)$. Since by (2.31) and (2.35), for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ sufficiently small,

$$D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)^{-1} \quad = \quad D(\mu)^{-1}(I + O(|\mu|)),$$

we obtain for $\tau = i\varepsilon' t = i\varepsilon' 4\pi T$ that

$$J\coth(\tau\frac{D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}{2}) \quad = \quad \frac{2}{\tau}JD(\mu)^{-1}(\mathbb{I} + O(|\mu|)),$$

hence

$$\mathrm{Re}\,[-i\frac{\pi}{2}J\coth(\frac{i\varepsilon' tD_{\varepsilon'(\mu)}}{2})] \quad = \quad -\frac{{}^{t}R(\mu)R(\mu)}{4\varepsilon' T} + O\Big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon'}\Big).$$

In combination with (2.31) this implies that

$$\mathrm{Re}\,[-i\frac{\pi}{2}\,{}^{t}zJ\coth(\frac{i\varepsilon' tD_{\varepsilon'(\mu)}}{2})z] \quad \leq \quad -\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4\varepsilon' T} + C_T|\mu|\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4\varepsilon' T}.$$

By choosing ε_0, hence $|\mu|$, sufficiently small, we can now conclude in a similar way as in Lemma 2.6. □

For $\varepsilon' > 0$ as in Lemma 2.7, let us put

$$\tilde{f}^{\mu}_{\varepsilon'} \quad = \quad e^{-\frac{\varepsilon' 4\pi T}{4\pi}\tilde{\Delta}_{D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}(\tilde{f}^{\mu}).$$

Our assumption (2.19) in combination with Lemma 2.7 now imply that

$$|\tilde{f}^{\mu}_{\varepsilon'}(z)| \quad \leq \quad C(\varepsilon'|\mu|)^{-n} e^{-\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4(a+\varepsilon)}}. \tag{2.37}$$

Moreover, by (2.36), we may re-write (2.32) as

$$|e^{iT\tilde{\Delta}_{S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)}}(\tilde{f}^{\mu}_{\varepsilon})(z)| \quad \leq \quad C(\varepsilon'|\mu|)^{-n} e^{-\frac{|R(\mu)z|^2}{4(b+\varepsilon)}}, \tag{2.38}$$

where by (2.33)

$$S_{\varepsilon'}(\mu) = -A_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)J,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\varepsilon'}(\mu) \quad &= \quad (S(\mu) + \varepsilon' D(\mu) + O(\varepsilon'|\mu|^2))J \\ &= \quad A(\mu) + \varepsilon' B(\mu) + O(\varepsilon'|\mu|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that in the original coordinates x, $A_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)$ corresponds to the matrix

$$A^{\mu}_{\varepsilon'} \quad = \quad A - \varepsilon'\mathbb{I} + O(\varepsilon'|\mu|),$$

hence is regular for $\varepsilon' > 0$ and μ sufficiently small. Notice here also that $\|A^{\mu}_{\varepsilon'}\|_{\mathrm{op}} \to \|A\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ as $\varepsilon' \to 0$. So we have essentially reduced ourselves to the case treated in Subsection 2.2.1.

More precisely, for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ sufficiently small, by passing back to the original coordinates x, we can conclude as in Subsection 2.2.1 from (2.37) and (2.38) that

$$\tilde{f}^{\mu}_{\varepsilon'} = 0 \text{ whenever } ab < T^2\|A\|_{\mathrm{op}}, \tag{2.39}$$

provided ε (hence $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$) is sufficiently small (the fact that $A^{\mu}_{\varepsilon'}$ now also depends on μ in a mild way has no consequences for our argument). Notice also that, according to Lemma 2.6 and 2.7, this conclusion holds true for all $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|\mu| < \varepsilon_0(T, \varepsilon)$, independently of ε', provided ε' is sufficiently small.

Finally if we put $B_{\varepsilon'}(\mu) := D_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)J$, then by (2.34) $B_{\varepsilon'} = B(\mu) + O(|\mu|^2)$, and we see that $\mathrm{Re}\,(-B_{\varepsilon'}(\mu)) > 0$ for μ sufficiently small. Therefore Theorem 5.5 in [13] implies that $\tilde{f}^{\mu}_{\varepsilon'} \to \tilde{f}^{\mu}$ in $L^2(\mathfrak{g}_1)$, since $\varepsilon' T D_{\varepsilon'(\mu)} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon' \to 0$. So (2.39) forces again $\tilde{f}^{\mu}$ to be 0 for every $0 \neq \mu \in \mathcal{C}$ sufficiently small, and consequently $f = 0$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5 in the case considered in Subsection 2.2.2.

2.3. **The case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is not MW.**

Assume finally that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not MW. Following [10], we then define a new Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1^*) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_2 \times \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2,$$

with the Lie bracket $\mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2 \to \mathfrak{h}_2$ given by

$$[(V,\xi),(V',\xi')] := ([V,V'],\xi'(V) - \xi(V')).$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is again nilpotent of step 2. One easily sees (c.f. [10]) that if $l = (\mu,\lambda) \in \mathfrak{h}_2^* = \mathfrak{g}_2^* \times \mathbb{R}$, with $\lambda \neq 0$, then ω_l is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}_1$, so that $\mathfrak{h}$ and the corresponding group $H = \exp(\mathfrak{h})$ is MW.

As for G, we use exponential coordinates for H, so that $H = \mathfrak{h}$ as the underlying manifold, endowed with the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product.

We embed G into H by means of the mapping $(x,u) \to ((x,0),(u,0))$ and define the closed abelian subgroup M of H by

$$M \quad = \{((0,\xi),(0,s)) : \xi \in \mathfrak{g}_1^*, s \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then

$$H = M \cdot G,$$

and the mapping $(m, g) \mapsto mg$ is a diffeomorphism from $M \times G$ onto H. If V is a left-invariant vector field on G, we denote by $\tilde{V}$ its lift to H, i.e.,

$$\tilde{V}F(h) \quad = \quad \frac{d}{dt}F(h\exp(tV))|_{t=0}, \quad h \in H.$$

If $F : H \to \mathbb{C}$ is a function on H and if $m \in M$, we define $F_m : H \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$F_m(h) := F(mh), \quad h \in H.$$

Then clearly for any smooth function $F : H \to \mathbb{C}$

$$\tilde{V}F(mg) \quad = \quad VF_m(g) \quad \text{for all } (m, g) \in M \times G.$$

Let now

$$L_A = \sum_{j,k}^{m} a_{jk}V_jV_k$$

on G be given as before. Then its lift $\tilde{L}_A = \sum_{j,k}^{m} a_{jk}\tilde{V}_j\tilde{V}_k$ on H satisfies

$$(\tilde{L}_AF)(mg) \quad = \quad (L_AF_m)(g) \quad \text{for all } (m, g) \in M \times G.$$

Assume now that the function f on G satisfies the estimates (1.6),(1.7) in Theorem 1.5, i.e.,

$$|f(x, u)| \quad \leq \quad Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4a}}e^{-\delta|u|} \tag{2.40}$$

$$|(e^{iTL_A}f)(x, u)| \quad \leq \quad Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4b}}e^{-\delta|u|}, x \in \mathfrak{g}_1, u \in \mathfrak{g}_2. \tag{2.41}$$

We can define the lift $\tilde{f}$ of f on $H = MG$ by putting

$$\tilde{f}((\xi; s) \cdot (x, u)) \quad = \quad e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4a}}e^{-\delta|s|}f(x, u). \tag{2.42}$$

Here $(\xi; s)$ is a short-hand writing for $((0, \xi), (0, s)) \in M$ and (x, u) stands for $((x, 0), (u, 0))$.

Moreover we shall identify $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ with $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^l$ by means of the mapping

$$(x, u) \quad \mapsto \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_jV_j + \sum_{s=1}^{l} u_sU_s$$

(compare Section 1), and similarly we shall identify $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$ with $\mathbb{R}^m$ by means of the dual basis to $V_1, \cdots, V_m$. This allows us to assume that $H = (\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m) \oplus (\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R})$, and that $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm in (2.42).

Observe that

$$(\xi; s) \cdot (x, u) \quad = \quad (x, \xi, u, s - \frac{1}{2}\xi \cdot x),$$

so that in exponential coordinates for H

$$\tilde{f}(x, \xi, u, s) \quad = \quad e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4a}}e^{-\delta|s+\frac{1}{2}\xi\cdot x|}f(x, u).$$

Since

$$|s + \frac{1}{2}\xi \cdot x| \geq |s| - \frac{1}{4}(|\xi|^2 + |x|^2),$$

the relation (2.40) implies that

$$|\tilde{f}(x, \xi, u, s)| \quad \leq \quad Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2+|\xi|^2}{4a'}}e^{-\delta|(u,s)|},$$

with $a' := \frac{a}{1-\delta a}$ if $\delta > 0$ is small. Similarly (2.41) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{iT\tilde{L}_A}\tilde{f}((\xi, s)) \cdot (x, u)| \quad &= \quad |(e^{itL_A}\tilde{f}_{(\xi,s)})(x, u)| \\ &= \quad e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4a}}e^{-\delta|s|}|(e^{itL_A}f)(x, u)| \\ &\leq \quad Ce^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4a}}e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4b}}e^{-\delta|(u,s)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $a \leq b$**.** In this case

$$|e^{iT\tilde{L}_A}\tilde{f}((\xi, s)) \cdot (x, u)| \quad \leq \quad Ce^{-\frac{|\xi|^2+|\xi|^2}{4b'}}e^{-\delta|(u,s)|},$$

with $b' := \frac{b}{1-\delta b} > b$, if δ is small enough. Now if $ab < \|A\|_{\rm op}^2 T^2$, then we can choose δ so small in (1.6), (1.7) that $a'b' < \|A\|_{\rm op}^2 T^2$. Since H is MW, by what has already been proved, we conclude that $\tilde{f} = 0$ on H and hence $f = 0$ on G.

Case 2: $b < a$**.** This case can be reduced to the preceding one $a < b$. Just replace f by $g := e^{iTL_A} f$ and T by $-T$, so that $e^{-iTL_A} g = f$. Apply the previous case to g, which interchanges the roles of a and b. Hence $g = 0$ and finally then $f = 0$, too.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

References

[1] A. Bonami, B. Demange, P. Jaming. Hermite functions and uncertainty principles for the Fourier and the windowed Fourier transforms, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 19,1 (2006) 23–55.

[2] M. Cowling, J. F. Price. Generalizations of Heisenberg's inequality. Harmonic Analysis (Cortona, 1982) Lecture Notes in Math. 992 (1983) 443–449, Springer, Berlin.

[3] M. Cowling, L. Escauriaza, C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. The Hardy uncertainty principle revisited, preprint 2010

[4] L. Escauriaza, C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. The sharp Hardy uncertainty principle for Schrödinger evolutions. Duke Math. J. 155, 1 (2010) 163–187.

[5] L. Escauriaza, C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. Hardy's uncertainty principle, convexity and Schrödinger evolutions. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 10, 4 (2008) 883–907.

[6] L. Escauriaza, C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega. On uniqueness properties of solutions of Schrödinger equations. Comm. PDE. 31, 12 (2006) 1811–1823.

[7] G. H. Hardy, A theorem concerning Fourier transform. Journal London Math. Soc. 8, (1933) 227–231.

[8] R. Howe. The oscillator semigroup. The mathematical heritage of Hermann Weyl (Durham, NC, 1987), 61–132, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.

[9] D. Müller, F. Ricci. Analysis of second order differential operators on Heisenberg groups. I. Invent. Math. 101 (1990), no. 3, 545–582.

[10] D. Müller, F. Ricci. Solvability for a class of doubly characteristic differential operators on 2-step nilpotent groups. Ann. of Math. (2) 143 (1996), no. 1, 1–49.

[11] D. Müller, F. Ricci. Solvability for a class of non-homogeneous differential operators on two-step nilpotent groups. Math. Ann. 304 (1996), no. 3, 517–547.

[12] D. Müller, F. Ricci. Solvability of second-order left-invariant differential operators on the Heisenberg group satisfying a cone condition. J. Anal. Math. 89 (2003), 169–197.

[13] D. Müller. Local solvability of linear differential operators with double characteristics. II. Sufficient conditions for left-invariant differential operators of order two on the Heisenberg group. J. Reine Angew. Math. 607 (2007), 1–46.

[14] S. Ben Saïd, S. Thangavelu. Uniqueness of solutions to the Schrödinger equation on the Heisenberg group. Preprint 2011.

[15] N. Th. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste, T. Coulhon. Analysis and geometry on groups. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 100. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

Jean Ludwig, *Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications de Metz UMR 7122, Université de Lorraine, île du Saulcy, 57045 Metz CEDEX 01, France. E-mail: jean.ludwig@univ-lorraine.fr*

Detlef Müller, *Mathematisches Seminar, C.A.-Universität Kiel, Ludewig-Meyn-Str.4, D-24098 Kiel, Germany. E-mail: mueller@math.uni-kiel.de* .